

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

DOE I, et al., On Behalf of Themselves and All ) Case No. CV-01-0031  
Others Similarly Situated, )  
Plaintiffs, ) CLASS ACTION  
vs. )  
THE GAP, INC., et al., )  
Defendants. )  
PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF  
RESERVE FUND, PURSUANT TO  
SEPTEMBER 9, 2004 ORDER  
JUDGE: Alex R. Munson

---

DOE I, et al., On Behalf of Themselves and All )  
Others Similarly Situated, )  
Plaintiffs, )  
vs. )  
BRYLANE, L.P., et al., )  
Defendants. )  
DOE I, et al., On Behalf of Themselves and All )  
Others Similarly Situated, )  
Plaintiffs, )  
vs. )  
THE DRESS BARN, INC. )  
Defendant. )

---

[Caption continued on following page.]

1      DOE I, et al., On Behalf of Themselves and All      )  
2      Others Similarly Situated,                                )  
3    )  
4    )  
5    )  
6    )  
7    )  
8    )  
9    )  
10          vs.   )  
11          ADVANCED TEXTILE CORP.,                            )  
12    )  
13    )  
14    )  
15    )  
16    )  
17    )  
18    )  
19    )  
20    )  
21    )  
22    )  
23    )  
24    )  
25    )  
26    )  
\_\_\_\_\_

## I. INTRODUCTION

In its September 9, 2004 order (the "Order") regarding the status of settlement administration, the Court concluded that it would be appropriate to set aside as a Reserve Fund a portion of the Settlement Funds designated for distribution to the Opt-In Plaintiffs and Class Members, principally based on the parties' and the Court's recognition that there is a "reasonable possibility that some individuals who are entitled to compensation under the Settlement may not receive it." Order at 8. This might occur, the Court recognized, because some otherwise eligible workers may not have been included in the database originally due to incomplete or missing information, or because there may be mailing, delivery or data input problems.

*Id.*

Accordingly, in the Order, the Court directed plaintiffs and the Claims Administrator as follows:

After the amounts owed to each qualified individual have been calculated, the Claims Administrator shall estimate what the "average" payment would be, and then use that figure, together with an estimate of the numbers of individuals who may come forward in the future, to develop an appropriate amount for the Reserve Fund. Plaintiffs' counsel are directed to submit that proposed amount, once determined, to the Court for its approval.

*Id.*

The Claims Administrator has now reached the point of calculating individual payments. The Court's Order would require the Claims Administrator to calculate payments first, deduct the Reserve Fund and then re-calculate the payments to take account of the monies set aside. Because of the delays that have been encountered, however, plaintiffs' counsel propose that a designated reasonable sum be set aside first, allowing the Claims Administrator to calculate the payments only once. This methodology is simpler and

1 will save time. The amount plaintiffs' counsel propose for the Reserve Fund is \$100,000. As explained  
2 below, counsel believe this amount is reasonable in light of all the circumstances. Plaintiffs' counsel  
3 respectfully request that the Court promptly approve this sum to expedite payments to the Opt-In Plaintiffs  
4 and Class Members.

5 **II. PROPOSED RESERVE FUND**

6 Several factors support a Reserve Fund of \$100,000. First, it reflects the Claims Administrator's  
7 current understanding of the size of the class and the recognition that most Class Members are entitled to  
8 relatively modest payments. There are 29,770 identified individuals eligible for payment and approximately  
9 \$5.6 million in funds available for distribution. This amounts to an average of \$189 per person. Of course,  
10 this is far from an accurate estimate of what each worker would receive. The payments will vary widely  
11 depending on: (1) the worker's employer; (2) how long and during what years each person worked; and  
12 (3) whether the worker will receive both a RICO and FLSA payment. However, this "average" figure does  
13 indicate that, for most workers, the payments likely will be modest. In addition, the number of known  
14 eligible persons is very close to the number long estimated by plaintiffs' counsel to be entitled to payment  
15 (*i.e.*, 30,000), based on the factories' records and other information. This indicates that there is, at most,  
16 only a small risk that a very large number of previously unknown, eligible claimants will come forward at a  
17 later date to assert a right to payment under the Settlement.

18 Second, as the database of eligible workers has been created and the problems encountered during  
19 that process have been addressed, it has become apparent to plaintiffs' counsel and the Claims Administrator  
20 that there likely will be a substantial number of returned, undeliverable and/or uncashed checks. This is  
21 because of the difficulties inherent in attempting to mail or otherwise deliver checks to non-English speaking  
22 individuals in far-flung corners of the globe, relying (in many instances) on the best available but still less-  
23 than-perfect information on current addresses. Although it is not possible to estimate a percentage of  
24 uncashed checks, plaintiffs' counsel believes that the number and total amount of such unclaimed funds,  
25 together with a \$100,000 Reserve Fund, would be more than sufficient to resolve any late claims by eligible  
26

1 workers who were not previously identified, and any other problems arising from the distribution of the  
2 payments.

3 Plaintiffs' counsel believe it is appropriate to consider the likelihood of such unclaimed funds in  
4 setting the amount for the Reserve Fund. As the Court recognized in its Order, in administering the  
5 Settlement Funds, it is necessary to "balance . . . the need for fairness and consideration of the circumstances  
6 of the Settlement Class Members and Opt-In Plaintiffs [with] the reality that there is a fixed pool of funds  
7 available for distribution, such that any decision concerning payment to a potentially eligible individual  
8 necessarily affect the amount of funds available for them." *Id.* at 2. Setting aside too large a sum for the  
9 Reserve Fund would penalize known workers who are eligible for payment, by decreasing the pool of funds  
10 available for distribution. Making an allowance for unclaimed funds while still providing a reasonable  
11 baseline sum of \$100,000 achieves an appropriate balance between these conflicting concerns.

12 Finally, as the Court is already aware, plaintiffs' counsel, working with the Claims Administrator,  
13 have made every reasonable effort to ensure the completeness and accuracy of the database from which  
14 payments will be calculated. The information in the database has been checked, double-checked and even  
15 triple-checked. Counsel and the Claims Administrator have expended thousands of dollars for which they  
16 will not be reimbursed from the Settlement for the purpose of ensuring completeness of the database.  
17 Plaintiffs' local counsel has overseen efforts to ensure that workers continually update the Claims  
18 Administrator as to their whereabouts. By word of mouth, numerous individuals have come forward in  
19 recent months to determine whether they have been included in the database, and if not, to establish their  
20 eligibility for payment, if necessary. In other words, great effort has been made to be as inclusive as  
21 possible on the front end to minimize the risk that previously unknown claimants will come forward after  
22 distribution of the Settlement Funds has occurred.

23       //

24       //

25

26

1 In light of all these circumstances, plaintiffs' counsel respectfully submit that a \$100,000 Reserve  
2 Fund is sufficient to protect the rights of any late claimants under the Settlement. Counsel respectfully  
3 request that the Court approve this amount promptly, to enable the Claims Administrator to complete  
4 calculation and distribution of payments as soon as possible.

5 | DATED: July 24, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

**CIVILLE & TANG**  
G. PATRICK CIVILLE  
JOYCE C.H. TANG

JOYCE C.H. TANG

PMB 86, P.O. Box 10003  
Saipan, MP 96950-8903  
Telephone: 670/235-1725

**LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER  
RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP**  
KEITH F. PARK  
PAMELA M. PARKER  
401 B Street, Suite 1600  
San Diego, CA 92101  
Telephone: 619/231-1058

**LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER  
RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP**  
ALBERT H. MEYERHOFF  
9601 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 510  
Los Angeles, CA 90210  
Telephone: 310/859-3100  
310/278-2148 (fax)

**ALTSCHULER, BERZON, NUSSBAUM,  
RUBIN & DEMAIN**  
MICHAEL RUBIN  
177 Post Street, Suite 300  
San Francisco, CA 94108  
Telephone: 415/421-7151

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*